

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 ARMANDO B. CORTINAS, JR.,
10 Petitioner,
11 v.
12 JO GENTRY, et al.
13 Respondents.
14

3:10-CV-00439-LRH-RAM

ORDER

15 Petitioner initiated this action on July 16, 2010, by filing a *pro se* document entitled
16 “Application For Certificate of Appealability.” (ECF No. 1). Two internal court docketing notes,
17 dated October 26, 2010, and November 1, 2010, reflect that the Clerk’s Office sent petitioner
18 correspondence related to his application, along with a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 form petition. On
19 March 22, 2017, petitioner, through the Federal Public Defender, filed a motion for leave to
20 amend. On October 30, 2017, the Court directed the petitioner to file a supplemental brief
21 setting forth additional argument and evidence related to his motion. (See ECF No. 23).

22 On November 20, 2017, petitioner filed a “Motion for Access to Specific Non-Public
23 Internal Court Records and Correspondence.” (ECF No. 24). In the motion, petitioner asks
24 the Court to allow him to review its internal docket notes dated October 26, 2010, and
25 November 1, 2010, as well as any other docketing notes that exist in this case. Petitioner
26 further asks the Court to “provide him with copies of any relevant correspondence that does
27 not already appear on the public docket in this case, specifically any correspondence that the

28 | //

1 Court or its clerk's office drafted, sent to Mr. Cortinas, attempted to send to Mr. Cortinas, or
2 received from Mr. Cortinas." (*Id.* at 2-3).

3 Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that petitioner's motion for access (ECF No.
4 24) is hereby GRANTED. The court's internal docketing notes dated October 26, 2010, and
5 November 1, 2010, have been made available to public access by the Clerk of Court, with no
6 editing, including of the "non-public" designation. The Clerk is further directed to unseal the
7 additional non-public entry dated March 23, 2017. Petitioner is advised that no
8 correspondence between the Court or the Clerk's Office and petitioner has been preserved.
9 Petitioner is further advised that the docket does not reflect where the Clerk mailed the
10 correspondence of November 1, 2010. However, as a matter of practice, the Clerk sends
11 correspondence to the petitioner at the last address on the docket.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: this 5th day of December, 2017.

14
15 
16 LARRY R. HICKS
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28